

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b>	<b>Applicant(s)</b>
	10/735,715	OHTA ET AL.
	<b>Examiner</b>	<b>Art Unit</b>
	Greg Binda	3679

**All Participants:**

**Status of Application:** Final rejection

(1) Greg Binda. (3) \_\_\_\_.

(2) C. John Nrown. (4) \_\_\_\_.

**Date of Interview:** 1 September 2006

**Time:** 3:00pm

**Type of Interview:**

Telephonic  
 Video Conference  
 Personal (Copy given to:  Applicant  Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated:  Yes  No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

**Part I.**

**Rejection(s) discussed:**

*112(1) rejection in Office action mailed Feb 8, 2006*

**Claims discussed:**

33

**Prior art documents discussed:**

*none*

**Part II.**

**SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:**

*See Continuation Sheet*

**Part III.**

It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: In order to put the application in condition for allowance, applicant was given the option of either (1) showing how claim 33 reads on the elected species and overcomes the prior art of record; or (2) approving and examiner's amendment cancelling all the withdrawn claims. Applicant chose neither option..